

MODELING CONTACT LINE DYNAMICS IN EVAPORATING MENISCI

J. L. Plawsky, A. Chatterjee, and P. C. Wayner, Jr.

Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY-12180



Introduction: Phase Change Heat Transfer

The efficient acquisition and rejection of heat at very high heat fluxes (kW/cm²) requires synergistic advances in heat transfer materials, heat transfer surfaces, and heat transfer devices



Electronics cooling Photonics cooling Space & Aviation Solar Energy



Various other applications include:

Coating, Plating, Cooling, Separation and Reaction, Adhesion, Boiling and Condensation, Fuel Cell, Gas Sensor (porous coatings), Heat and Mass Transfer Operations & Self-Assembly.





Evaporation from Transition Region

Evaporation occurs in the region which has the lowest total resistance to heat transfer.



Transition region controls evaporation. Goal is to maximize its extent.

- Accurate modeling will enable us to engineer optimal surfaces





ensce

Polytechnic Institute

Experimental System - Heat Pipes

ISS CVB Module



Photograph of Module



- Partially filled cell forms a Constrained Vapor Bubble (CVB) design.
- Meniscus is present at corners where surface and the base meet
- Forms the basis for a fundamental experiment in interfacial phenomena
- Can be operated isothermally or driven by a temperature gradient



Reflectivity/Interferometry Technique



Varying thickness of the meniscus produces an interference pattern



Interference pattern analyzed to obtain gray value at each pixel



Nonisothermal State Meniscus - Octane



Octane (8-C) used as a working fluid

Table 3.1: Physical properties of n-pentane and n-octane

	Dielectric Constant, ε	Refractive index, n	Boiling point, °C	Surface Tension, σ, dynes/cm	Dynamic Viscosity, ×10 ³ Pas	Density, ρ, Kg/m ³	h _{fg} , kJ/kg
Pentane	1.84	1.358	36	15.5	0.224	626	366
Octane	1.95	1.398	126	21.1	0.508	703	363





Fluid Flow Model



- Lubrication approximation used to model fluid flow.
- Navier slip (solid-liquid interface) and Marangoni shear (liquid-vapor interface) boundary conditions applied

- Mass balance provides the evaporating mass flux at each pixel location.
- Temperature dependence of fluid properties accounts for the capillary, Marangoni and van der Waals forces.

$$\mu \frac{d^2 u}{dz^2} = \frac{dP_1}{dy} \qquad z = 0, \qquad u_s = \beta \frac{du}{dz} \bigg|_{z=0} \qquad P_1(y) = P_v - \left[\sigma(y)K(y) + \Pi(y)\right]$$
$$z = \delta(y), \qquad \tau_{zy} = \frac{d\sigma_{lv}}{dy} \qquad \Gamma = \int_0^\delta \rho_l u \, dy \qquad q'' = -h_{fg} \frac{d\Gamma}{dy}$$





Heat Transfer at the Contact Line

• Heat transfer at the contact line was modeled using a Kelvin-Clapeyron approach.

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dy} = -r \vartheta_{evp} = C \left(\frac{M}{2\pi RT} \right)^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{P_v M h_{fg}}{RT_v T_i} \left(T_i - T_v \right) + \frac{V_l P_v}{RT_i} \left(P_l - P_v \right) \right\}$$

$$\frac{1}{3v_1} \frac{d}{dy} \left[\left(\sigma_o - \gamma \left(T_{lv} - T_v \right) \right) \delta^3 \delta^{""} - \gamma \delta^3 \delta^{"} \frac{dT_{lv}}{dy} + \frac{3A}{\delta} \delta^{"} + \frac{3\gamma \delta^2}{2} \frac{dT_{lv}}{dy} \right]$$

$$+ \left[a \left(T_{lv} - T_v \right) - b \left(\sigma \delta^{"} - \gamma \left(T_{lv} - T_v \right) \delta^{"} - \frac{A}{\delta^3} \right) \right] = 0$$

- Since the pressure and difference can be written in terms of the film thickness, and the temperature difference is measured or set, the final equation can be written as a 4th order differential equation for the film thickness, δ.
- Boundary conditions set the film thickness and curvature at both ends of the domain.
 These conditions are established from experimental observations.





Simulation Results

- COMSOL simulations were performed by splitting the 4th order equation into two 2nd order equations.
 - Splitting allowed for more control over boundary conditions.
 - Limited us to steady-state simulation.
- We were primarily interested in whether the model could simulate the curvature profiles we observe experimentally.
- Peak in curvature only occurs for small values of the adsorbed film thickness corresponding to high values of the disjoining pressure.
- Steep curvature gradient required to pump liquid into the transition region for evaporation.







- COMSOL simulation was able to reproduce the experimental data from an octane meniscus.
- The incorporation of hydrodynamic slip was necessary to match both the position of the curvature peak and the spread of the peak.
- Peak height is controlled by the thickness of the adsorbed film ahead of the contact line.





NASA

Simulation Results - Octane & Pentane

- COMSOL simulation was applied to an octane meniscus at a higher heat flux and a pentane meniscus.
- Simulation was able to successfully reproduce the curvature profiles for both fluids.
- Adsorbed film thicknesses are lower than those measured experimentally, but the trend reproduces experimental observations.
 - Pentane adsorbed film thicknesses are much larger than octane.
- Slip lengths are not unreasonably large, but more experimental work is needed to determine if they exist.







Conclusions

COMSOL model was successfully able to reproduce experimental observations

- Model was able to match both the film thickness and curvature profiles for octane and pentane menisci.
- Model results provided the correct trend for both the adsorbed film thickness as a function of heat input and also the adsorbed film thickness as a function of liquid Hamaker constant. Adsorbed film thicknesses were smaller than those measured experimentally.
- Model results suggest that hydrodynamic slip is required to successfully model the evaporation of thin films.

Meniscus model improvements

- Verify the requirement for a slip length.
- Extend model to cover the entire meniscus, not just the field-of-view of the experiment.
- Extend model to handle transient situations. Focus on recession during evaporation and meniscus oscillation, both of which have been observed experimentally.





Acknowledgements



Liftoff of Discovery with CVB Experiment 8/29/2009





$$A = \frac{3}{4}kT\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{3}}{\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{3}}\right)\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{3}}{\varepsilon_{2}+\varepsilon_{3}}\right) + \frac{3h\nu_{e}}{8\sqrt{2}}\frac{\left(n_{1}^{2}-n_{3}^{2}\right)\left(n_{2}^{2}-n_{3}^{2}\right)}{\left(n_{1}^{2}+n_{3}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(n_{2}^{2}+n_{3}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\left(n_{1}^{2}+n_{3}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left(n_{2}^{2}+n_{3}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}}$$

$$S^{LW} = \gamma \left(\cos \theta^e - 1 \right)$$

$$\Delta G^{LW} = S^{LW} \left(\frac{d_0^2}{h^{e^2}} \right)$$





