#### Application of Solution Mapping to Reduce Computational Time in Actively Cooled Power Electronics

#### Kirk T. Lowe and Rao V. Arimilli

Mechanical, Aerospace, and Biomedical Engineering University of Tennessee - Knoxville October 9, 2008





### Acknowledgements

Project Sponsored by: Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Work in support of Direct-Cooled Power Electronics Substrate Project funded by DOE FreedomCar Program





## **Problem Description**

- Typical packaging has many layers
- Thermal resistance is large
- Commercial applications can require a coolant temperature over 100° C
- Thermal resistance must be reduced







#### **Proposed Solution**





Top View

Provision Patent 61/037,129





#### **Proposed Solution (ii)**

- Embeds heat sink into ceramic
- Eliminates TIM, copper base plate, one solder layer, and aluminum heat sink
- Thermal performance of coolant channel design is modeled to compare to design limitations.



### **Model Setup**

- Fluid Dynamics  $\rho \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{u} = \nabla \cdot \left[ -pI + \eta \left( \nabla \vec{u} + \left( \nabla \vec{u} \right)^T \right) \right]$ 
  - → Incompressible Navier-Stokes
  - → Continuity
- Heat Transfer
  - → Conduction and Convection
- Constant Properties
- Steady State to predict worst-case-scenario
- Maximum Temperatures
  - $\rightarrow$  Fluid 130° C
  - → Interface 150° C



$$\rho C_{p}\vec{u}\cdot\vec{\nabla}T = Q''' + k\nabla^{2}T$$

 $\nabla \cdot \vec{\mu} = 0$ 





# **Solution Strategy**

- Solve 2-D axisymmetric flow field
- Map solution to 3-D cylinders (Extrusion Coupling Variables)
- Apply thermal boundary conditions
- Solve for temperature distribution





# **Boundary Conditions**

- Inlet Velocity, Re<sub>D,in</sub>
- Outlet, Pressure, no viscous stress,  $p_0=0$
- Walls, no slip
- Chip Heat Load, 1.78e9 W/m<sup>3</sup>
- Inlet Temperature, 105° C
- All other boundaries thermally insulated





# **Solution Mapping**

- Useful for simple flow field in more complex structure
- Solve 2-D axisymmetric flow field
- Map solution to 3-D cylinder using Extrusion Coupling Variables
- Translate axis as necessary
- Separate variables into directional components
- Incorporate into convection heat transfer
- Solve temperature distribution





## **Solution Mapping (i)**







# Solution Mapping (iii)

- 2-D axisymmetric solution
- Solved
   parametrically to desired input velocity or
   Reynolds number







## **Solution Mapping (iv)**

<u> </u>	Subdomain	Extrusion Variables	
Source Destination	Source Vertices Desti	nation Vertices	
Subdomain selection	Name u_2d v_2d	Expression V	
Select by group	<ul> <li>Linear transformation</li> <li>General transformation</li> </ul>	Source transformation n X: r V. z OK Cancel App	Subdomain Extrusion Variables  Source Destination Source Vertices  Geometry: *Geom2 Variable: u_2d  Level: *Subdomain
$\sqrt{(x-x)}$	y = z	$\overline{r_i}^2 = r_i$	Subdomain selection Use selected subdomains as destination Destination transformation X: Sqrt((x001)^2+(z0) Y. Y Select by group OK Cancel Apply Help





## **Solution Mapping (v)**



- Initial model update uses initialized (coarse) mesh
- Mesh refinement is necessary to transfer velocity data to convection regime





## **Solution Mapping (vi)**



• Fine mesh better resembles the accuracy of the 2-D solution





## **Solution Mapping (vii)**

1 x2 -0.002+x m 2 z2 -0.0015+z m 3 theta atan(z2/x2) rad	-
2 22 -0.0015+z m theta atan(z2/x2) rad	
theta atan(z2/x2) rad	
u2 u_2d*cos(theta) []	
w2 u_2d*sin(theta) []	
Select by group	





#### **Solution Mapping (viii)**





#### Results

- High thermal conductivity ceramics produce lower maximum interface and fluid temperatures
- Chip temperatures are within design limits
- Working Fluid is above its boiling point



Maximum Interface and Fluid Temperatures of the Four Ceramic Materials at Re<sub>D,in</sub>







#### **Results (ii)**

- Increasing inlet Re<sub>D</sub>, decreases maximum temperatures
- Pressure drop is small
- Model pressure drop coincides well with analytic calculations



Variations of Maximum Interface and Fluid Temperatures and the Pressure for Ceramic 4



#### **Results (iii)**

- Large core of "cold" fluid at channel exit (41.3%)
- Diameter at mass manufacturing limit
- To improve cooling
  - → Surface enhancement
  - → Thermal conductivity enhancement



Fluid Temperature Along Diameter at Outlet of Hottest Channel in Ceramic 4







## **Solution Accuracy**



Comparison of Maximum Fluid Temperature between COMSOL Solutions and Analytical Solution for a Constant Flux Tube





## **Solution Accuracy (ii)**

- Analytic solution within 5% of simulation for all Reynolds numbers
- Model results are conservative
  - → Larger maximum temperatures
- Analytic solution provides good basis for initial sizing and feasibility



#### Conclusions



- New package substrate can enable the use of high temperature coolants
- High thermal conductivity ceramics are necessary to minimize thermal resistance for this coolant path design
- An increase in the nominal flow rate is required to meet the design limitations
- Solution mapping significantly decreases the amount of time required to solve 3-D convective flows
- Work continues to improve flow channel design and thermal conductivity of working fluid





# **Questions?**