Relativistic Quantum Mechanics Applications Using the Time 'Independent Dirac Equation]n 7 CAGC @Ai `hd\ mg]Wg^a 'GcZk UfY

A J. Kalinowski¹ 1. Consultant East Lyme, CT y 0°

Introduction: COMSOL is used for obtaining the relativistic quantum mechanics wave function $\Psi_m(x,y,z,t)$ as a solution to the time independent Dirac equation. The steady state probability density (i.e. ρ') evaluation of a particle being at a spatial point is extracted from $\rho' = \sum |\psi_m|^2$ at point x,y,z, m=1..4.

• Fig.2 validates the radiating steady state cylindrical wave; inner surface is driven with a cylindrical Eigenfunction.



Computational Methods: The equations for the behavior of a free particle of mass m with M'=mc/h, c= speed of light, $h = h/(8\pi)$, (where h is Plank's constant) are given by the Dirac pde equations [1]:

 $\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \Psi_1}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \Psi_4}{\partial x}$ $\frac{\partial \Psi_4}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial \Psi_4}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \Psi_3}{\partial z} + iM' \Psi_1 = 0$ $1 \partial \Psi_2$ $\frac{\partial \Psi_3}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial \Psi_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \Psi_4}{\partial z} + i M' \Psi_2 = 0$ (1) $c \partial t$ $\frac{\partial \Psi_2}{\partial \Psi_1} + \frac{\partial \Psi_1}{\partial \Psi_1} - iM'\Psi_3 = 0$ <u>1</u>∂Ψ₃ _ $\partial \Psi_2$ $-\imath \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ $c \partial t$ ∂x $-\frac{\partial \Psi_2}{\partial Y_4} - iM'\Psi_4 = 0$ 1 $\partial \Psi_4$, $\partial \Psi_1$, $\partial \Psi_1$ $c \partial t$

Figure 2 Dirac Cylindrical Wave : (a) Exact Real ψ_1 , (b) FEM Real ψ_1 , (c) Exact Real ψ_4 , (d) FEM Real ψ_4

• Fig.3 An incident PW enters an infinite domain via two slits (Fig.3a.). We observe that emerging from the slits interact, waves

and are solved with the "Coefficient-Form PDE". When the wave vector k lies in the xy plane, $\partial \Psi_m/\partial z$ terms drop out and the 1st and 4th eqs. decouple, where Ψ_{1}, Ψ_{4} and are solved alone. The time independent solution form of Eq(1) use $Ψ_m=ψ_m(x,y)e^{-iωt}$, m=1;4.

Results: • Fig.1 validates the plane wave Eigenvalue solution of COMSOL wave compared to an exact solution.



forming bands of constructive (orange) and destructive (green)

Reψ₁∎ 0.3 interference. Fig.3 b-c Slit Enlargement shows that in close at ^{0.1} cut1, probability Absorbing` Vertical Wall- $\int_{-0.1}^{1}$ density ρ' is .067 time _{-0.2} smaller above the slit /irtual Inlet than in line with the a) Re ψ_1 Absorbing B.C. |Ψ₁|_{■ 0.3} slit, yet back at cut 2, Ψ₄ 0.1 0.08 ^{o2} ρ' is 18.9 times bigger 0.06 Cut₁ 0.04 ^{on} above the slit than in 0.02 line. -0.02 -0.04 -0.1 -0.06 -0.08 -0.1 Figure 3 Two Slit **Interference Pattern** c) |Ψ₄| b) |Ψ₁| **Conclusions**: The *Coefficient-Form* PDE solved the option successfully time independent Dirac equation. Banded groupings of particle locations as inferred by Fig.3 are also observed experimentally.

Wave Function Eigenvector ψ_1 , ψ_4 vs. Figure 1 Normalized x/λ Coordinate ; (a) Simulated Infinite Domain FEM Model, (b) FEM Real ψ_1 vs. x,y, (c) FEM Real ψ_4 vs. x,y, (d) Real and Imag. ψ_1 , ψ_4 of FEM ↔ Exact Comparison Solutions @ Mid Line y=0

References:1. P. Strange, Relativistic Quantum Mech., Camb. Univ. Press 1998

Excerpt from the Proceedings of the 2016 COMSOL Conference in Boston